


Center
for the
Study
of
Social
Policy

State Policy Options for Promoting Family Economic Success

**Women in Government
Midwestern Regional Conference Call**

Prepared by the *Policy Matters* Project
Sponsored by the Annie E. Casey Foundation

June 27, 2007



This presentation highlights information from:

Policy Matters

Twenty State Policies to Enhance State's Prosperity and Create Bright Futures
for America's Children, Families and Communities
2007 Data Update

Full data presentations, source documentation, and
research citations can be found in that report, available at

www.policymatters.us



Introduction

THE CHALLENGE

In today's economy, wage work provides less and less opportunity for families to succeed financially

POLICY OPTIONS


States can improve opportunities for hard-working families by

Removing barriers to employment

- A. Access to quality child care
- B. Access to health insurance
- C. State minimum wage
- D. Community college affordability

Allowing families keep more of their earnings


- E. Income tax thresholds and child care tax credits
- F. State earned income tax credit
- G. Protection against predatory lending



This page intentionally left blank



REMOVING BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT



This page intentionally left blank

A. Access to Quality Child Care

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- Parents with access to quality child care are more stable workers.
- U.S. businesses lose \$3 billion annually due to child care breakdowns for workers.
- Parents leaving welfare are more successful if they can access child care.
- Quality child care also enhances children's brain development during crucial early years, resulting in better adult outcomes (lower rates of incarceration and unemployment)
- Federal Reserve calculations show investments in quality child care yield a return of up to 16 percent.
- Many states fail to capitalize on federal matching funds for child care.

Policy Options


- A1. Child care work support - Eligibility
- A2. Child care work support - Co-payments
- A3. Pre-kindergarten
- A4. Full-day kindergarten



A1 Child Care Work Support - Eligibility

How it Works:


States determine the availability of federal child care subsidies to low-wage families. One key factor in allowing low-wage families to access this assistance is the income eligibility level that states adopt for their child care programs. Federal rules allow states to provide subsidies to families with incomes up to 85% of the state median income.



Year Data Collected: 2006

A2 Child Care Work Support – Co-payments


How it Works: States often require low-wage families to share in the cost of child care by requiring co-payments, which can make it difficult for these families to afford child care and make ends meet. States generally set co-payments as a percentage of a family's income, and set different rates for families at 100 percent and 150 percent of the federal poverty level.



Year Data Collected: 2006

A3 Pre-Kindergarten

How it Works: Many states have increased investments in prekindergarten services in schools and child care centers to improve school readiness and reduce educational achievement gaps. A state's spending on pre-k per 4 year old in the state is generally an important measure of access to pre-k services for children.



Year Data Collected: 2004-05

A4 Full-day Kindergarten

How it Works: State funding formulas can create incentives and disincentives for local school districts to provide full-day kindergarten based on the amount the state allocates to districts for full-day kindergarten programs.

STATE	Incentive Provided for Full-day Kindergarten in State Funding Formula	Minimum Number of Hours in Full-day Kindergarten
		Specific Standard
Illinois	Strong Incentive	4 hours/day (Same as 1st grade)
Nebraska	Strong Incentive	1,032 hours/year (Same as 1st grade)
Wisconsin	Strong Incentive	Same length of school day as 1st grade
Iowa	Incentive	N/A
Michigan	Incentive	N/A
Missouri	Incentive	N/A
South Dakota	Incentive	Determined by district
Indiana	Disincentive	N/A
Kansas	Disincentive	N/A
Minnesota	Disincentive	935 hours/year (Same as 1st grade)
North Dakota	Disincentive	N/A
Ohio	Disincentive	Same number of hours per day as grades 1-6
Oklahoma	Disincentive	6 hours/day
Year Data Collected	2005	2005

B. Access to Health Care

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- Adult illness negatively impacts workers and employers, causing billions of dollars in lost wages and productivity each year.
- Children's illness also disrupts parent's work attendance and productivity.
- States that do not help families access preventive health care often pay much greater amounts for emergency treatments and hospitalizations when minor ailments worsen.
- States can leverage federal funds when investing in Medicaid.

Policy Options

- B1. State Children's Health Insurance Program (S-CHIP)
- B2. Parental eligibility for Medicaid




B1 Health Insurance Access for Children - SCHIP

How it Works: States determine the availability of government-funded medical insurance to children in low-wage families, most critically through the income eligibility level that they set for their Medicaid and State Child Health Insurance Programs (SCHIP).

STATE	Child Eligibility Level (As a % of FPL)	Cost-Sharing Mechanism [a]	
		Co-payments Charged for Children's Health Insurance Services	Premiums Charged for Children's Health Insurance Services
Missouri	300	-	Yes
Minnesota	275	-	Yes
Illinois	200 (No limit)	Yes	Yes
Indiana	200	-	Yes
Iowa	200	-	Yes
Kansas	200	-	Yes
Michigan	200	-	Yes
Ohio	200	-	-
South Dakota	200	-	-
Nebraska	185	-	-
Oklahoma	185	-	-
Wisconsin	185	-	Yes
North Dakota	140	[[-
Year Data Collected	2006	2006	

B2 Parental Access to Health Insurance – Medicaid

How it Works: Parental health insurance coverage is not only important for a parent's own health, research indicates that parental coverage is a strong predictor of a child's use of health services. States determine the income eligibility level for parents separately from decisions about children's eligibility.



Year Data Collected: 2006



C. State Minimum Wage

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- Without a reasonable wage, workers and their face multiple stresses that can result in illness, unemployment, and homelessness.
- Minimum wage increases can be enacted without significant job loss, even during economic downturns.
- The value of current minimum wage of \$5.15 an hour (adjusting for inflation) is 20 percent lower than its value in 1979 – despite four increases in the 1990s.
- A full-time worker earning minimum wage earns \$10,712 per year, slightly more than ½ federal poverty level


Policy Options

- C1. State minimum wage rate
- C2. Method for updating the state minimum wage

C1 State Minimum Wage Rate

How it Works: A state minimum wage can be set above the federal minimum wage. States can also apply their minimum wage to categories of employees and industries excluded from coverage under the federal law.

FMW increases:
 2007 \$5.85
 2008 \$6.55
 2009 \$7.25



Year Data Collected: Jan. 2007

C2 Method for Updating State Minimum Wage

How it Works: As inflation rises and the cost of living increases, minimum wages fail to keep pace with inflation. States can prevent this erosion by establishing laws that automatically increase the state minimum wage to keep pace with increases in inflation, which is measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). Alternatively, states can index the state minimum wage to an amount incrementally higher than the federal minimum wage (e.g., \$1 above the federal minimum wage), or to the federal minimum wage itself, ensuring that the state minimum wage is not lower than the federal minimum wage.

STATE	Method of Adjusting Minimum Wage **
Ohio [o]	Adjusts annually for inflation using CPI [w]
Missouri [a,k]	Automatically adopts FMW if FMW is raised above SMW, and adjusts annually for inflation using CPI. [w]
Iowa	Automatically replaced by FMW if FMW is raised above SMW
Oklahoma [a,p]	Automatically adopts FMW
Illinois [g]	No policy
Indiana [a,h]	No policy
Kansas [a]	No policy
Michigan [i]	No policy
Minnesota [j]	No policy
Nebraska	No policy
North Dakota	No policy
South Dakota	No policy
Wisconsin	No policy
Year Data Collected	January, 2007



D. Higher Education Affordability

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- Higher education is more and more necessary for employment.
- On average, a community college degree adds \$14,800 in annual earnings.
- Promoting higher education promotes economic growth.

Policy Options

- D1. Community college tuition and need-based financial aid
- D2. Four-year college tuition and need-based financial aid

D1 Community College Affordability

How it Works:

Access to two-year colleges provides a critical opportunity for families to provide better futures for their children. This access is strongly influenced by affordability, as determined by tuition levels and the availability of need-based financial aid.


STATE	Two-Year Colleges		
	State Need-based Financial Aid as a % of Federal Aid	Tuition	Relative Affordability
Minnesota	33%	\$3,040	Moderate (High Tuition)
Indiana	12%	\$1,937	Moderate (Low Aid)
Illinois	29%	\$2,082	Greater
Wisconsin	78%	\$4,083	Moderate (High Tuition)
Iowa	10%	\$2,249	Moderate (Low Aid)
Michigan	15%	\$1,898	Moderate (Low Aid)
Ohio	4%	\$3,081	Lower
Kansas	29%	\$3,123	Moderate (High Tuition)
Nebraska	25%	\$2,108	Greater
Oklahoma	0%	\$3,116	Lower
South Dakota	52%	\$2,960	Moderate (High Tuition)
Missouri	73%	\$2,113	Greater
North Dakota	70%	\$2,589	Moderate (High Tuition)
Year Data Collected	2005-06		

D2 Four-year College / University Affordability

How it Works:

Access to higher education is strongly influenced by its affordability, particularly for students from low-wage families. The affordability of four-year schools for many is determined by tuition levels and the availability of need-based financial aid.

STATE	Four-Year Colleges/Universities		
	State Need-based Financial Aid as a % of Federal Aid	Tuition	Relative Affordability
Minnesota	78%	\$6,921	Moderate (High Tuition)
Indiana	70%	\$5,911	Moderate (High Tuition)
Illinois	73%	\$7,166	Moderate (High Tuition)
Wisconsin	52%	\$5,665	Moderate (High Tuition)
Iowa	33%	\$5,619	Moderate (High Tuition)
Michigan	29%	\$6,943	Moderate (High Tuition)
Ohio	29%	\$8,489	Moderate (High Tuition)
Kansas	12%	\$4,571	Moderate (Low Aid)
Nebraska	15%	\$4,875	Moderate (Low Aid)
Oklahoma	25%	\$3,814	Moderate (Low Aid)
South Dakota	0%	\$4,900	Moderate (Low Aid)
Missouri	10%	\$5,835	Lower
North Dakota	4%	\$5,047	Lower



This page intentionally left blank



ALLOWING LOW-WAGE FAMILIES TO
KEEP MORE OF THEIR EARNINGS



E. Income Tax Thresholds and Child and Dependent Care (CADC) Tax Credits

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- Reducing income taxes for low-wage workers can help offset the child care and transportation costs incurred as they try to work their way out of poverty
- The CADC rewards work and provides tax relief to low-wage families
- The CADC also helps families afford quality child care, which has many economic benefits, as explained in the early care and education section of this report.


Policy Option

- E1. Income Tax Threshold
- E2. Child and Dependent Care Tax Deduction or Credit

E1

Income Tax Thresholds

How it Works: States seeking to ensure their tax structure encourages and rewards work can reduce the tax burden on families with incomes near the federal poverty level (FPL). A key method for doing so is to raise the income threshold at which family income becomes subject to the state income tax. This policy also reduces the tax burden for other low-wage families by eliminating the tax liability for the portion of their income that falls near the income tax threshold.



E2

Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit

How it Works: States can supplement the federal child and dependent care credit, which allows low-wage families with child and dependent care expenses to subtract a portion of those expenses from their federal tax liability. Enacting a state version of this credit allows low-wage families to continue working and access higher quality care for their children.

STATE	Maximum Value of Child and Dependent Care Credit (NR = Non-Refundable)	
	Dollar Amount	As a % of Federal Credit*
Nebraska	\$2,100 [o]	100%
Iowa	\$1,575	75%
Minnesota	\$1,440	69%
Ohio	\$2,100 (NR)	-
Kansas	\$525 (NR)	-
Oklahoma	\$420 (NR)	-
Illinois	Not Offered	-
Indiana	Not Offered	-
Michigan	Not Offered	-
Missouri	Not Offered	-
North Dakota	Not Offered	-
Wisconsin	Not Offered	-
South Dakota	No state income tax	-
Year Data Collected	2005	



F. Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)

The Business Case - Research shows that:

- The EITC rewards work and provides tax relief to low-wage families.
- The EITC is considered the most effective program in history for helping families rise out of poverty (2 million adults + 2 million children per year).
- Approximately 20 percent of eligible families do not claim the EITC.


Policy Options

- F1. State earned income tax credit
- F2. Outreach for federal EITC

F1 STATE Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)

How it Works:

States can reward work by supplementing the federal earned income tax credit, which is a tax credit that reduces the tax burden on low-wage workers. This tax credit is considered the most effective policy in history for lifting children in working families out of poverty.



Year Data Collected: Jan. 2007

F2 Outreach for the FEDERAL EITC

How it Works. States can:

- States can increase awareness of the EITC by airing public service announcements and posting information in public places, using the Internet to disseminate information, and holding briefings and other events.
- Publicize the availability of free tax preparation services.
- Support the expansion of free tax preparation services.
- Use the EITC as a vehicle for building assets by offering EITC filers financial literacy classes, providing them information on credit repair, and linking them with opportunities to establish bank accounts.

Source: National Governors Association



G. Protection Against Predatory Lending

The Business Case - Research shows that:

Mortgage lending

- Predatory mortgage lending costs America's families \$9.1 billion each year.
- In almost all states, foreclosures of sub prime mortgages are estimated to exceed 16 percent in 2006. In nine states (including CA and NY) the estimate is 21 to 24 percent.

Payday lending

- The payday lending model is designed to keep borrowers in debt.
- America's families lose \$4.2 billion per year in predatory fees.
- Eleven states saved families an estimated \$1.4 billion in 2006 by capping interest rates at approx. 36 percent.

Policy Options

- G1. Protection against predatory mortgage lending
- G2. Protection against predatory payday lending

G1 Protection Against Predatory MORTGAGE Lending

How it Works: States can prohibit mortgage lending abuses such as negative amortization, prepayment penalties, financing of credit insurance, refinancing of home loans to the detriment of the consumer, high interest rates not justified by risk factors, and excessive foreclosures. Federal laws provide minor protection against these tactics, but state laws can provide additional protections that are minimally or significantly stronger.

STATE	Predatory Mortgage Lending Protection beyond Federal Law
Ohio	Significant
Illinois	Significant
Wisconsin	Minimal
Oklahoma	Minimal
Minnesota	Minimal
Michigan	Minimal
Indiana	Minimal
Iowa	-
Kansas	-
Missouri	-
Nebraska	-
North Dakota	-
South Dakota	-
Year Data Collected	2006

G2 Protection Against Predatory PAYDAY Lending

How it Works: States can restrict payday lending abuses through two primary strategies. First, they can enact protective regulations like small loan interest rate caps, anti-usury laws, and specific prohibitions on check cashing. Second, they can prohibit local companies from partnering with out-of-state banks as a way of circumventing local restrictions.

STATE	Payday Lending Restrictions
Illinois	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Indiana	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law [a]
Iowa	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Kansas	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Michigan	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Minnesota	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Missouri	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Nebraska	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
North Dakota	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Ohio	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Oklahoma	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law [a]
South Dakota	Allows Payday Lending Under Current Law
Wisconsin	-
Year Data Collected	2006

Technical Assistance for State Legislators

About *Policy Matters*

In today's economy, many families are working harder and still struggling to make ends meet. Effective state policy is critical to improving their opportunities to succeed.

Policy Matters is a report that summarizes research on state policies that can make a difference for these families and their children. This report also provides state-specific data that identifies which policies have been adopted in each state. *Policy Matters* is a project of the non-profit, non-partisan Center for the Study of Social Policy in Washington, D.C., and this work is funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation. *Policy Matters* reports are available at www.policymatters.us.

Technical Assistance Available

As state legislators seek to learn more about these policy options, *Policy Matters* staff are available to provide various forms of technical assistance:

- **State policy scans.** As policy makers develop a legislative agenda, *Policy Matters* staff can help by identifying opportunities for strengthening state policy. Staff can prepare state-specific reports that include assessments of state policy based on current research, and based on comparisons with neighboring or similar states.
- **Facilitating an agenda-setting process.** *Policy Matters* staff have worked with policy makers and community leaders in multiple states to convene a caucus or coalition and facilitate discussions on developing a shared policy agenda. In these processes, *Policy Matters* staff serve as an information resource and facilitator only, and decisions regarding policies to include in the agenda are made only by state policymakers participating in the process.
- **Policy focus on specific populations.** For policy makers focused on specific populations within their state, *Policy Matters* can provide a focused set of policies. For example, target populations may include families involved in the child welfare system or formerly incarcerated individuals returning to their communities.
- **More detailed information in a specific policy area.** As policy makers seek more detailed information in a particular policy area, *Policy Matters* staff can engage issue-area experts to provide more detailed data, policy research, or in-depth technical assistance.

For more information, please contact Noel Bravo at (202) 371-1565 or noel.bravo@cssp.org



For more information, contact:

Center for the Study of Social Policy
1575 Eye St., NW Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 371-1565
www.cssp.org

Noel Bravo
Senior Associate

(202) 454-4104

noel.bravo@cssp.org